IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TENNESSEE

AT JACKSON

AUGUST 1996 SESSION

FILED May 20, 1997

Cecil Crowson, Jr. Appellate Court Clerk

MARVIN ANTHONY MATHEWS,) No. 02C01-9509-CC-00259
Appellant,) LAUDERDALE COUNTY
V. FRED RANEY, WARDEN,) HON. JOSEPH H. WALKER,) JUDGE)
Appellant.) (Habeas Corpus)))
For the Appellant:	For the Appellee:
Marvin Anthony Mathews Cold Creek Correctional Facility P.O. Box 1000 Henning, TN 38041-1000 (Pro Se)	Charles W. Burson Attorney General and Reporter 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493 Charlotte H. Rappuhn Assistant Attorney General 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0493 Lisa A. Naylor Legal Assistant
OPINION FILED:	_
AFFIRMED PURSUANT TO RULE 20	
William M. Barker, Judge	

ORDER

Appellant, Marvin Anthony Mathews, appeals the summary dismissal of his petition for writ of habeas corpus by the Lauderdale County Circuit Court. Appellant is serving a term of life imprisonment after being convicted in Shelby County of grand larceny and adjudicated a habitual offender in December of 1988. Acting *pro se*, appellant filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus on June 21, 1993. His petition asserts that the Habitual Offender Act under which he was sentenced in 1988 is unconstitutional and thereby the convicting court was without jurisdiction to order his confinement. On August 3, 1995, the trial court summarily dismissed appellant's petition without an evidentiary hearing, finding that the alleged statute was constitutional.

The Habitual Offender Act, Tennessee Code Annotated sections 39-1-801 to -807 (repealed 1989), has repeatedly been upheld as constitutional by this Court.

See, e.g., State v. Kilby, 763 S.W.2d 389, 393 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1988); State v.

Jackson, 697 S.W.2d 366, 373 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1985); State v. Wilson, 687 S.W.2d 720, 726 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1984), cert. denied, 472 U.S. 1030, 105 S.Ct. 3508, 87 L.Ed.2d 638 (1985); State v. Freeman, 669 S.W.2d 688, 692 (Tenn. Crim. App. 1983). Therefore, appellant is entitled to no relief.

Finding that no error of law was committed by the trial court, we affirm the judgment pursuant to Rule 20 of the Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals.

	William M. Barker, Judge
Gary R. Wade, Judge	
 Jerry L. Smith, Judge	